

Population synthesis of millisecond and submillisecond pulsars

Andrea Possenti¹, Monica Colpi², Nichi D'Amico³, Luciano Burderi⁴

¹ Dept. of Astronomy, University of Bologna,

Via Zamboni 33, 40126 Bologna, Italy

e-mail: l_possenti@astbo3.bo.astro.it

² Dept. of Physics, University of Milano,

Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

e-mail: colpi@uni.mi.astro.it

³ Astronomical Observatory of Bologna,

Via Zamboni 33, 40126 Bologna, Italy

e-mail: damico@astbo1.bo.cnr.it

⁴ Inst. of Physics, University of Palermo,

Via Archirafi 36, 90123 Palermo, Italy

e-mail: burderi@gifpa2.fisica.unipa.it

To appear in the ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL LETTERS

Received _____; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

Known millisecond pulsars have periods longer than 1.558 ms. Recycled in binary systems, neutron stars can attain very short spin periods. In this paper we investigate the expected properties of the millisecond pulsar distribution by simulating synthetic populations under different assumptions for the neutron star equation of state and decay of the magnetic field. We find evidence that a tail in the distribution of millisecond pulsars may exist at periods shorter than those observed.

Subject headings: Stars: neutron – pulsars: general, rotation – magnetic field. Accretion.

1. Introduction

The shortest period at which neutron stars (NSs) have been observed ($P_{\min} = 1.558$ ms) is suspiciously close to the detection capabilities of pulsar (PSR) surveys carried out so far. A new experiment at the Northern Cross at Medicina has started recently whose sensitivity in the range $0.6 \rightarrow 1.5$ ms is significantly better than that of the previous surveys (D’Amico et al., 1998). The experiment is aimed at determining whether $P_{\min}$ is indeed a physical limit or is of instrumental origin.

The minimum period of a stable, gravitationally bound NS is thought to be within ~ 0.6 ms (for the softest equation of state of dense matter) and ~ 1.3 ms (for the stiffest one). These are the limits derived by Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky (1994a; CST hereafter) in the context of a recycling scenario where accretion occurs from the inner edge of a Keplerian disk onto a bare unmagnetized NS. Remarkably, submillisecond periods are attained (before the mass-shedding instability is encountered) even in those models having a static maximum mass close to $1.4 M_{\odot}$.

Several authors (Phinney & Kulkarni 1994; Stergioulas & Friedman 1995; Burderi & D’Amico 1997) discussed the importance of detecting an ultra-rapid rotating pulsar as a way of discriminating between the proposed equations of state (EoSs). The formation of a very fast spinning pulsar, however, depends sensitively on the history of the NS in the binary and on the evolution of the magnetic field which controls the dynamics of mass and angular momentum transfer at the magnetospheric boundary. Whilst detailed evolutionary calculations by Urpin, Geppert & Konenkov (1997) have shown that NSs move into the millisecond region of the plane $\mu - P$ (magnetic moment versus period) under rather “ordinary” conditions, the possibility of populating the submillisecond range is still unknown. Though, on theoretical grounds, bare NSs can attain periods $P < 1$ ms (CST), Nature may not provide the conditions for spinning a significant number of NSs to ultra

short periods.

In this Letter we investigate the efficiency of the recycling process in producing NSs in the yet unexplored region of the $\mu - P$ diagram below 1.558 ms. To this end, we simulate the distribution of a NS population evolved assuming three models for the magnetic field decay and selecting two representative EoSs.

2. Our adopted evolutionary models

2.1. Recycling scenario

In our statistical analysis the NSs evolve in low mass binaries and undergo four phases (Illarionov & Sunyaev 1975): *ejector* $\rightarrow$ *propeller* $\rightarrow$ *accretor* $\rightarrow$ *pulsar*.

During the ejector phase, the stellar wind from the companion star is blown away by the strong radiation pressure of the young pulsar, and the NS spins down only via magnetic dipole radiation at a rate

$$\dot{P} = 3.15 \times 10^{-16} \mu_{26}^2 P^{-1} \text{ s yr}^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where P is the rotation period in seconds and μ_{26} is the magnetic moment in units of 10^{26} G cm³. This phase continues until the ram pressure of the stellar wind starts exceeding the pulsar momentum flux at the accretion radius. Thereafter, matter penetrates down to the magnetosphere whose radius is

$$R_A = 9.8 \times 10^5 \mu_{26}^{4/7} \dot{m}^{-2/7} M^{-1/7} R_6^{-2/7} \text{ cm}, \quad (2)$$

where M is the neutron star mass in solar masses and $\dot{m}$ is the accretion rate in units of $\dot{M}_E = 1.5 \times 10^{-8} R_6 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Bhattacharya & van den Heuvel 1991). In this phase, the intervening plasma and the NS can exchange angular momentum. As a guideline, we

assume that the NS is fed through a disc that develops due to Roche-lobe overflow in the low-mass binary. The disc has an inner radius $R_{in} = \phi R_A$, where the dimensionless constant ϕ is derived following Burderi et al. (1998):

$$\phi^{31/28} = 0.56 \alpha^{9/35} \dot{m}^{3/70} M^{5/140} R_6^{3/70} R_{A,6}^{-3/28}, \quad (3)$$

with $\alpha \simeq 1$ the Sakura-Sunyaev parameterization of the viscosity. The rate of transfer of angular momentum L_{tr} at the inner boundary of the disc can be evaluated as:

$$\dot{L}_{tr} = R_{in}^2 \Omega_K(R_{in}) \dot{m} g(\omega_S), \quad (4)$$

where $\Omega_K(R_{in})$ is the Keplerian angular velocity at R_{in} . The dimensionless torque g , function of the fastness parameter $\omega_S = \Omega/\Omega_K(R_{in})$, determines the direction of angular momentum transfer, and vanishes at a certain critical value $\omega_{S,crit}$.

Denoting with $L_{NS} = I\Omega$ the NS angular momentum (with I the moment of inertia), we use the balance relation $\dot{L}_{NS} = \dot{L}_{tr}$ to derive the evolution of the angular velocity Ω of the neutron star. When g is negative the NS magnetosphere acts as a centrifugal barrier at R_{in} . In this phase (*propeller*), the infalling plasma is forced into super Keplerian rotation and is swept away, extracting angular momentum from the compact object which spins down. Later in the evolution, when g becomes positive (*accretion phase*) the NS spins up. We adopt two different expressions for g , to bracket uncertainties, according to the models of Ghosh & Lamb (1991) and Wang (1996). When mass transfer from the companion stops, the NS shines as a *pulsar*, and suffers secular spin down by magnetic dipole torques (eq. [1]). In this terminal phase, potential submillisecond pulsars migrate to the right of the $\mu - P$ diagram, and may in principle evacuate the region of interest to our experiment.

2.2. The role of the EoS

The equation of state for nuclear matter determines the internal structure of a NS of given mass and angular velocity. Both these quantities vary during the recycling process in a binary system: in order to account for the related evolution of R and I , we used fits to the relativistic models calculated by Cook, Shapiro & Teukolsky (1994b).

For a bare neutron star of $1.4 M_\odot$, at the onset of recycling, all viable EoSs allow for terminal periods $P \lesssim 10$ ms. However, the minimum period attainable depends on the specific EoS. We focused on two EoSs, labeled as A and L by Arnett & Bowers (1977), bracketing the set of the limiting periods; 0.604 ms for EoS A, and 1.25 ms for EoS L. A is soft, with a maximum static mass of $1.66 M_\odot$ and a static radius (for a $1.4 M_\odot$ star) of $R_{st} = 9.59$ km. EoS L is much stiffer, with a maximum static mass of $2.70 M_\odot$ and a static radius of $R_{st} = 15.0$ km for the canonical mass. Both models reach the mass-shedding limit with a modest rotational to gravitational energy ratio. This suggests that nonaxisymmetric instabilities are of no obstacle to recycling (CST) and so we neglect their possible influence on the evolution.

In the accretion model of CST, the centrifugal instability sets in when the accreted mass reaches about $0.3 \rightarrow 0.4 M_\odot$. As an example, in the case of EoS A, a $1.4 M_\odot$ -neutron star can load $0.428 M_\odot$ before mass shedding disruption, with a final gravitational mass of $1.77 M_\odot$, higher than the static limit. Such an effect enhances the maximum attainable spin rate of a recycled pulsar. In the simulation, we thus allow for a total accreted mass of about $0.5 M_\odot$ for EoS A, and of 0.5 (or 1.0) $M_\odot$ for EoS L (still compatible with the stability calculations of CST).

2.3. Magnetic field decay scenarios

The observations of low magnetic moments ($\mu = 3.2 \times 10^{37} (P\dot{P})^{1/2} \text{ G cm}^3 \simeq 10^{26} \rightarrow 10^{27} \text{ G cm}^3$) in many binary and millisecond pulsars raises the problem of how the surface magnetic field can decay from the typical value of the isolated pulsar population ($\mu \simeq 10^{29} \rightarrow 10^{31} \text{ G cm}^3$). An original suggestion of Taam & van den Heuvel (1986) prompted the development of a series of semi-empirical models, in which the fractional decay of the magnetic moment is related to the amount of mass accreted by the NS (Shibazaki et al. 1989). This picture appears to be probably too simplified (Wijers 1997, but see Van den Heuvel & Bitzaraki 1995) and other quantities (such as $\dot{m}$) are needed to explain the observation. We explored three different physical models for the surface field decay.

2.3.1. Model KB

The first model was proposed by Konar & Battacharya (KB hereafter; 1997), following an idea by Geppert & Urpin (1994). In this model, the accreting plasma heats, initially, the crust reducing the time-scale for ohmic diffusion. As the original crustal material is conveyed towards higher conductivity region, ohmic decay slows down until the field freezes (when the current layers are completely assimilated into the NS super-conducting core). As a result, the amount of magnetic moment decay depends not only on the total mass accreted, but also on $\dot{m}$. In particular higher accretion rates determine a precocious freezing of the field, which relaxes to a higher bottom value. We use the same field decay profiles presented in KB extrapolating them also to values of $\dot{m} > 0.1$ (Brown & Bildstein 1998). Figures 5 and 6 of KB refer to evolution for an intermediate EoS: As field decay depends on the properties of the crust, we tested the sensitivity of the results introducing a correction factor to the magnitude of the relic field for EoS A (higher value) and for EoS

L (lower value), to mimic the effect of a thinner crust for A and thicker for L. Additional corrections (e.g., thermal history of the crust) could play a role but are difficult to include quantitatively. This point deserves future study.

2.3.2. *Model BLOB*

In Burderi, King & Wynn (1996) the magnetic moment decay is caused by an ordered accretion onto the polar caps of the compact object. Matter accreted at the inner radius of the disc in the form of diamagnetic plasma blobs develops induced currents in its impact onto the magnetic poles. These currents can partially neutralize the NS crustal currents, weakening the magnetic moment of the star. Under simplifying assumptions, it is possible to derive a law for the magnetic field evolution:

$$\dot{\mu} = -\kappa_{\mu} \phi^{-7/4} \dot{m}^{3/2} M^{1/4} R_6^{1/2} R_{in,6}^{-1/4}, \quad (5)$$

where $\kappa_{\mu} = 6.6 \times 10^{-3}$. When $R_{in} = R$ the decay stops and the related bottom magnetic moment is:

$$\mu_{bottom,26} = 1.04 \phi^{-7/4} \dot{m}^{1/2} M^{1/4} R_6^{9/4}. \quad (6)$$

2.3.3. *Model SIF*

Another class of models involves the spin history of the NS to drive evolution of the field residing initially in the core (Srinivasan et al. 1990; Ding, Chen & Chau 1993; Miri 1996). As the NS spins down, the inter-pinning between the proton flux tubes and the superfluid neutron vortex lines drags the fluxoids (carrying the core field B_c) towards the crust, where the magnetic flux undergoes ohmic diffusion: the surface field B_s then relaxes to the value of the residual core field on a time-scale τ . In the simplest version of the model,

when $\dot{P} > 0$ the fields evolve according to:

$$\dot{B}_c = -\dot{P} P^{-1} B_c; \quad \dot{B}_s = -(B_s - B_c)/\tau, \quad (7)$$

where τ is set equal to 10^8 yr.

3. Simulated populations

The statistical analysis of the simulated population is carried out using a Monte Carlo technique. Approximately 1,000 points are needed to grant stability. The distributions of the physical parameters selected at the onset of evolution are described in Table 1. We fixed an initial gravitational mass of $1.4 M_\odot$. The initial spin period is selected from a flat probability distribution among $0.01 \rightarrow 0.10$ s, and it turned out that the simulations are quite insensitive to the exact range of the initial values of P . To emulate the distribution of the magnetic moment we adopt the gaussian profile from Bhattacharya et al. (1992) which, at present, is the best representation of the observed μ .

Evolutionary calculations for the low-mass binaries suggest an ejector phase lasting between $10^8 \rightarrow 2 \times 10^9$ yr (Miri & Bhattacharya 1994) which is accompanied by negligible spontaneous decay of the magnetic field. The propeller phase affects the final statistics only in the SIF model for which we mimic the spin-down effect introducing a mass transfer rate with mean value $\dot{m}_{prop} \simeq 10^{-9}$. The efficiency factor for angular momentum extraction is chosen according to the value suggested by Miri (1996). During the propeller phase the orbital parameters are unaffected by the binary evolution and so the rate of mass propelled is kept constant.

As regard to the accretion rates $\dot{m}_{accr}$, during the spin up phase, we select an interval compatible with the observations of LMXBs, whose typical luminosities cluster around $0.1 L_E$. In a real binary system the accretion rates are not constant. However, during the

accretion phase the mass transfer rate reaches a steady state after a time (Urpin & Geppert 1996) remarkably shorter ($t \simeq 10^4 \rightarrow 10^5$ yr) than the typical duration of this evolutionary stage in the LMXB ($t > 10^7$ yr). We allow for a total accreted mass M_{accr} greater than $0.01 M_\odot$ and smaller than the maximum value permitted by the EoS (see §2.2). The duration of the accretion phase is determined combining $\dot{m}_{accr}$ and M_{accr} , and can never exceed 2×10^9 yr. To test the dependence of the results on M_{accr} and indirectly on the mass of the companion star we also considered different boundary values. When accretion ends, the NS spins down by dipole torque for a time determined by a flat distribution among $10^8 \rightarrow 3 \times 10^9$ yr. A longer pulsar phase does not modify the outcomes.

4. Results and Conclusions

The results of our simulations are summarized in Figure 1, where we give the fraction of synthesized objects for EoS A and L in the 4 selected regions of the $\mu - P$ plane. This fraction is derived normalizing the sample to the total number of pulsars spinning with a period $P < 10$ ms. As a guideline, the upper left number in each cross represents the relative percentage of objects having $\mu > 10^{25.6}$ G cm³ (nowadays the minimum value detected in the observed population of millisecond pulsars) and a period $P < 1.558$ ms (the minimum value observed). The typical variance is about 3% for the upper two quadrants of the cross.

We find that *NSs with periods below 1.558 ms are present in a statistically significant number*, both in KB and BLOB models. As regard to the sensitivity of the results on the adopted parameters, we did not encounter major statistical differences when varying the torque function g . The percentage of ultra rapid spinning PSRs is strongly depressed only when the relic magnetic field is an order of magnitude greater than that calculated in Konar & Bhattacharya (1997).

As an illustration of the results of our runs, Figure 2 plots μ versus P for KB (top), BLOB and SIF (for EoS A). The first two scenarios give quite similar distributions below $P < 0.01$ s, whilst in SIF model, the population cluster between $0.01 \rightarrow 1.00$ s, leaving the region below 1.558 ms devoid of objects. In the BLOB model, NSs with relatively high μ and long period populate the plane and this is a consequence of equation (6) predicting a bottom field higher than that found in KB, for the same $\dot{m}$.

In summary we find that:

(a) The efficiency of the recycling process in spinning the NSs to the ultrashort periods can reach values as high as 20%. *This is the first indication that a tail in the distribution of the millisecond NSs may exist, at periods shorter than those effectively searched so far.* Our results provide an estimate of the relative importance of such a tail with respect to the bulk of the known millisecond PSRs.

(b) As regard to the issue on the EoS, only in the BLOB scenario the distributions of ultra rapidly spinning PSRs enable us to discriminate between the EoS A and L. In the KB model, they are almost indistinguishable. A more pronounced difference in the distributions is found when we limit M_{accr} to $0.5 M_{\odot}$ for EoS L ($4\% \pm 1\%$ for the left upper quadrant of KB model to contrast with the estimate of $9\% \pm 3\%$ for $M_{accr} = 1 M_{\odot}$).

(c) In the millisecond range, the distribution of $\text{Log}\mu$ privileges values > 25.6 . This comes from the hypothesis that the present millisecond pulsars evolved from the Low Mass X-Ray Binary population, with typical observed accretion rates $\dot{m}_{accr}$ clustering around 0.1. If future observations will be in favor of low values of μ , it will be suggestive of the presence of a yet undetected population of low luminosity low mass binaries.

(d) Pulsar search experiments with a sensitivity profile almost flat in the ultrashort period range, like the new one in progress at the Northern Cross radiotelescope (D’Amico et

al. 1997), are strongly encouraged, as they might help putting constraints on the equation of state and on the evolutionary paths of recycled neutron stars.

We thank D. Bhattacharya, U. Geppert and V. Urpin for helpful discussions and the Referee D. Lorimer for enlightening comments and a critical reading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Arnett, W.D., & Bowers, R.L. 1977, ApJS, 33, 415
- Bhattacharya, D., & van den Heuvel, E.P.J. 1991, Phys.Rep. 203, 1
- Bhattacharya, D., Wijers, R., Hartman, J., Verbunt, F. 1992, A&A, 254, 198
- Brown, E.F., & Bildstein, L., astro-ph 9710261, to appear in ApJ
- Burderi, L., King, A.R., & Wynn, G.A. 1996, MNRAS, 283, L63
- Burderi, L., et al. 1998, ApJ in press
- Burderi, L., & D’Amico, N. 1997, ApJ, 490, 343, and references therein
- Cook, G.B., Shapiro, S.L., & Teukolsky, S.A. 1994a, ApJ Lett., 423, L117
- Cook, G.B., Shapiro, S.L., & Teukolsky, S.A. 1994b, APJ, 424, 823
- D’Amico, N., et al. 1997, proc. of Neutron Stars and Pulsars, Symposium Nov 1997, Tokyo, in press
- Ding, K.J., Chen, K.S., & Chau, H.F. 1993, ApJ, 408, 167
- Geppert, U. & Urpin, V. 1994, MNRAS, 271, 490
- Ghosh, P., & Lamb, F.K. 1991, Neutron Stars: Theory and Observations, Kluwer, 3
- Konar, S., & Bhattacharya, D. 1997, MNRAS, 284, 311
- Illarionov, A., & Sunyaev, R. 1975, A&A, 39, 185
- Miri, M.J. 1996, MNRAS, 283, 1214
- Miri, M.J., & Bhattacharya, D. 1994, 269, 455
- Phinney, E.S., & Kulkarni, S.R. 1994, Ann. Rew. Astron. & Astrophys.
- Shibazaki, N., et al. 1989, Nature, 342, 656
- Srinivasan, G., et al. 1990, Curr.Sci. 59, 31

- Stergioulas, N., & Friedman, J. 1995, ApJ, 444, 306
- Taam, R.E., & van den Heuvel, E.P.J. 1986, ApJ, 305, 235
- Wang, Y. 1996, ApJ Lett. 465, L111
- Wijers, R.A.M.J. 1997, MNRAS, 287, 607,
- Van den Heuvel, E.P.J., & Bitzaraki, O. 1995, A&A, 297, L41
- Urpin, V., & Muslimov, A. 1992, MNRAS, 256, 261
- Urpin, V., Geppert, U., & Konenkov, D. 1997, to appear in A&A

Fig. 1.— Fraction of synthesized objects for EoS A and EoS L in 4 selected regions of the $\text{Log}P\text{-Log}\mu$ plane.

Fig. 2.— Distributions obtained for EoS A with model KB (top), BLOB and SIF (bottom). The position of PSR B1937+21 is denoted with a magnified dot.

Table 1. Starting values and evolution parameters for population syntheses

Physical quantity	Distribution	Values	Units
Initial NS Mass	One-value	1.40	$M_{\odot}$
Initial NS Period	Flat	0.01 $\rightarrow$ 0.10	sec
Initial NS μ	Gaussian	$\text{Log}(\mu_{ave}) = 30.36$ $\sigma=0.32$	G cm^3
$\dot{m}_{prop}$	Gaussian	$\text{Log}(\dot{m}_{prop,ave}) = -9.0$ $\sigma=1.00$	$\dot{M}_E$
$\dot{m}_{accr}$	Gaussian	$\text{Log}(\dot{m}_{accr,ave}) = -1.0$ $\sigma=0.50$	$\dot{M}_E$
Minimum accreted Mass	One-value	0.01	$M_{\odot}$
Ejector phase time	Flat	$10^8 \rightarrow 2 \times 10^9$	year
Accretion phase time	Flat in Log	$10^6 \rightarrow 3 \times 10^9$	year
Propeller phase time	Flat	$10^8 \rightarrow 2 \times 10^9$	year
Pulsar phase time	Flat	$10^8 \rightarrow 3 \times 10^9$	year

EoS A (soft)

EoS L (stiff)

<i>KB</i>	P		$10^{25.6} \text{ G cm}^3$	P	
	μ	9%85%		μ	9%86%
		2%4%			1%4%
	1.558 ms			1.558 ms	
<i>BLOB</i>					
		19%77%			2%98%
		1%3%			0%0%
<i>SIF</i>					
		0%98%			0%93%
		2%0%			0%7%

